Proceedings of EKONBIZ 2025
ISBN: 978-99955-45-50-5
UDK: 339.138:334.012.64(4-672EU)

Submitted: 08.04.2025.
Accepted: 11.04.2025.
Short or preliminary communication

MULTIPOLAR DYNAMICS OF THE GLOBAL MARKET: STRATEGIC CHALLENGES AND THE ADAPTATION OF NEW MARKETING MODELS

Ognjen Rankić
Faculty of Business Economics Bijeljina, University of East Sarajevo,
Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina
ognjen.rankic@fpe.ues.rs.ba
ORCID: 0009-0003-0460-1305

Zlatko Simikić
Faculty of Business Economics Bijeljina, University of East Sarajevo,
Republic of Srpska, Bosnia and Herzegovina
zlatko.simikic@fpe.ues.rs.ba
ORCID: 0009-0003-7693-8812

Abstract: *Multipolarity in the global economic system is reshaping the marketing strategies of multinational corporations, as economic power is no longer centralized in a single locus but distributed among multiple global actors. Striving to maintain competitive advantage, market leaders face the challenge of balancing global standardization with local adaptation - a dynamic that will drastically redefine their marketing initiatives and affect the allocation of their resources. This paper examines the impact of sequential and simultaneous approaches to adaptation processes in international marketing, with a particular focus on the degree of standardization, which largely depends on the similarities between home and target markets. Key operational decision-making aspects are explored, including cultural research and segmentation, product adaptation, advertising and promotional activities, distribution channel optimization, and modifications to the marketing mix within international business frameworks. Additionally, the study investigates variations in digital marketing approaches between the European Union and the United States, aiming to uncover differences in the implementation of marketing strategies. In developed markets, global unification dominates while respecting regional nuances, whereas emerging markets prioritize localization and cultural integration. The dynamic capabilities of multinational corporations, encompassing both sequential and structural forms of ambidexterity, enable the exploration of new opportunities alongside the simultaneous exploitation of existing resources an doperative processes.*

Key words: *Multipolarity, Marketing strategies, Global standardization, Local adaptation, Marketing mix*

***JEL classification**: M310, F440*

1. INTRODUCTION

Deep changes occurring in global markets have dire consequences for traditional business models, which are exposed to serious danger from the developing economic multipolarity. Considering the new market realities, universal business model-type strategies are slowly but surely giving a way to diverse approaches that adapt to the particular conditions of individual territories. Strategic position of companies depends on their ability to identify and respond to various economic, political, as well as cultural challenges. Increasing competition and diversity in consumer preferences set the standard for the adaptability of marketing activity for every business that seeks long-term success. The shift from a unipolar to a multipolar economy is based on stepping away from rigorous mass communication habits towards new, innovative strategies accepting the heterogeneity of every market segment. The development of digital platforms, disruptive technologies, and the complexity of new regulations present both challenges and opportunities for identifying new niches in regional markets and creating hyper-localized products. Redistribution of economic power means that the traditional centers of influence will have to deal with the necessity of reshaping world patterns of consumption and investment flows, considering their coexistence with emerging economic giants. Such newly emerging trends call for further research into market characteristics in order to optimize marketing efforts and build more efficient processes of personalization. The aim of this paper is to explore the changes brought by digital transformation and global multipolarity to marketing, with a particular focus on the adaptability of brands to the digital ecosystems of different markets. The main hypothesis of the paper relies on the assumption that market success strategies must be differentiated based on the type of market, taking into account various challenges that companies face, as well as the factors driving business success in a given market.

2. CONCEPTUAL APPROACHES TO MULTIPOLARISM

Contemporary developments in the 21st century point to a dynamic structure in the distribution of global power and the establishment of more complex forms of international relations. In order to better understand this transformation, it is necessary to consider the earlier phases of the global order - specifically the historical period from 1945 to 1989 - when the geopolitical reality was marked by a bipolar configuration, that is, a model based on two dominant but mutually opposed centers of power. This system generated constant tension between conflicting value systems, and due to continuous political and military confrontations between rival blocs, it gradually began to lose its effectiveness. This decline laid the groundwork for the establishment of a market-driven ideology of managing global flows, directed by a single dominant center of authority.

The period associated with the end of the Cold War marks the end of the bipolar division of the world and the beginning of unipolarity - a theoretical concept and/or political strategy in which one actor, specifically the United States, assumes a leading role. This global order was initially based on economic strength, which over time expanded to include political, symbolic, social, and security dominance. However, initiatives aimed at harmonizing the global system according to uniform patterns, while neglecting important regional differences, led to the gradual erosion of the unipolar model. Its eventual collapse was accelerated by the global financial crisis of 2008.

In response to the structural weaknesses of centralized economic governance, the concept of multipolarity emerged, referring to an international system with several centers of influence. Instead of a single dominant actor, new economic and political players began to appear on the global stage, most notably the European Union and the countries grouped under the BRIC framework (Brazil, Russia, India, and China). The space created for more diverse approaches and a balance of power in global politics reflects a world in which no single country holds absolute leadership, but rather depends on a multitude of other actors (Stojković, 2017). This significantly limits the dominance of any one center and restrains its behavior. Such a system often leads to the formation of new alliances and more flexible adaptation strategies, as encouraged competition and cooperation among various states contribute to the complexity and multi-layered nature of global political dynamics.

Multipolarity can be analyzed through various theoretical approaches, including **realism**, neorealism, the civilizational approach, the regional approach, liberalism, and constructivism (Soluyanov, 2021).

From the perspective of realism, multipolarity is seen as an objective reflection of the global power dynamics, where states are primarily focused on their own interests, and relations between them depend on their competitive and conflictual nature. According to this paradigm, the basis of multipolarity lies in the strengthening of economic, military, and political influence by non-Western powers, while simultaneously, the position of the United States as a global leader is weakening. With the development of classical realism, which emphasizes the structure of the international system rather than the behavior of individual states, **neorealism** suggests that the distribution of power among states determines their interactions and the overall stability of the system. Neorealists believe that a multipolar system will lead to more complex interactions and potentially greater conflicts, as states strive to preserve their security and power, while also being rational actors who make decisions based on an analysis of their interests in relation to other states.

On the other hand, the **civilizational approach** focuses on the cultural and historical contexts that shape the identities and interests of states, where civilizations, rather than just states, are the main actors in international relations that influence global dynamics. In addition to the conflictual nature of civilizations in an anarchic international environment, explained by the differences and contradictions in values, scholars argue that civilizations do not necessarily have to oppose each other, even though they have differing worldviews. The civilizational approach, with its division of the world, increasingly overlaps with the **regional** approach in contemporary science, which views multipolarity through the lens of regionalization, where regional powers take a central role in world politics. Proponents of this method argue that with the weakening of global U.S. dominance, influential regional actors are strengthening, whose political and economic aspirations need not be limited to their own region but can expand beyond its borders, reflecting the power of foreign policy in a cooperative atmosphere.

Within the **liberal paradigm**, multipolarity is defined as an unpredictable phenomenon that can have a broad range of effects on the stability of the international system. On one hand, it is seen as a threat to liberal values, the international system, and multilateralism, as it can significantly complicate coordination processes and collective action. According to another perspective, multipolarity does not necessarily indicate instability but can create conditions for a new type of international cooperation based on common goals and adaptive approaches. From this point of view, multipolarity is not only a real distribution of power but also a social construct shaped by political discourses. Unlike liberalism, which is based on universal norms and institutions, constructivism argues that different states shape multipolarity through their own narratives, such as Russia's resistance to Western hegemony. Taking into account the Russian and Chinese discourses, which do not represent a universal model but reflect specific political interests, multipolarity, from the constructivist standpoint, is viewed as a means to redefine international relations, with a focus on the political independence of states and a change in the balance of power (Soluyanov, 2021).

3. MARKET-DRIVEN ADAPTATION OF MARKETING STRATEGIES

Increasing consumer differences, along with ever more specific market characteristics, impose the need for more flexible and market-driven marketing approaches. This means that the choice between standardization and adaptation of marketing strategies depends on the structure of each individual market and must be regularly reassessed. When examining multinational corporations (MNCs) operating in international markets, a key issue concerns their pricing strategies - whether they should adopt a unified (standardized) approach or adjust (adapt) to the unique features of each local market.

Within this context, three fundamental principles emerge:

Full standardization implies applying a single pricing strategy across all markets;

Full adaptation refers to complete adjustment of pricing policies to each individual market;

Hybrid approach represents a balance between these two extremes, depending on the specific market context.

Research findings consistently suggest that the degree of standardization largely depends on the level of similarity between the home and target markets, taking into account economic, legal, and cultural factors, as well as consumer characteristics and the product's life cycle stage. A higher level of similarity across these domains often signals an opportunity for standard pricing, while pronounced differences between markets call for adaptation to local conditions.

Subsidiaries of multinational corporations play a crucial role in reshaping and implementing pricing strategies, as they possess deeper insights into local circumstances and consumer preferences. Although globalization, regional integrations, and technological advancement drive the desire for unified strategies, the input of local subsidiaries enhances the responsiveness and relevance of those strategies to dynamic market changes.

Critics of standardization highlight the oversimplified notion of global homogenization and identify cultural differences and consumer behavior variations as significant obstacles to such an approach. Supporting this perspective is the development of information and communication technologies, as well as growing computing power, which enable product personalization at relatively low costs, thereby diminishing the economic advantage of standardization.

In addition, various external factors - such as legislation, competition, and distribution infrastructure - often impose limits on the feasibility of standardization. Consequently, a flexible situational approach, one that blends global objectives with local sensitivity, is generally favored. On a global scale, the success of international pricing strategies does not stem from strict adherence to one model. For multinational corporations, achieving a balance between standardization and adaptation is far more than an operational challenge - it is a strategic decision with critical implications for business success (Theodosiou & Katsikeas, 2001).

In general, multipolarity sets the imperative for adaptation to local markets. Strategies for adapting marketing activities include:

Cultural research and market segmentation are key aspects of strategies aimed at tailoring marketing activities to align with the desires and preferences of the target consumer group. Based on this research, it is possible to identify cultures, subcultures, or even specific demographic groups that share common values, norms, and behaviors. Given the importance of culture in consumer behavior, an effective and culturally aware approach to segmentation leads to greater engagement and customer satisfaction. Adapting marketing initiatives at this level creates an emotional connection with consumers, whose satisfaction ultimately transforms into loyalty.

Product adaptation and localization are key strategies used by businesses to tailor their products to local cultural norms and market needs. The first step is understanding the cultural context through consumer behavior research and identifying factors that influence purchasing decisions. Product adaptation may include changes in size, color, taste, or functionality, while packaging, design, and labeling must be adjusted to align with the visual and cultural preferences of the market. Localization of branding and messaging involves aligning marketing materials with the language and cultural values, while price adjustments take into account the market's economy and purchasing power. Additionally, the selection of distribution channels and retail formats must meet local needs, often with the assistance of local distributors and retailers, all with the goal of enhancing the user experience and customer support. These strategies help establish a stronger connection with consumers, increasing the likelihood of success in global markets.

In order to achieve success, **communication and advertising approaches** must be tailored to the needs and values of different cultural groups. Language adaptation plays a crucial role, as translation is not just a linguistic process, but also involves understanding cultural specifics, idiomatic expressions, and symbols used within the target group. Sensitivity to cultural nuances must be present to avoid misunderstandings and potential negative effects. Understanding cultural taboos and specific norms can prevent unwanted reactions and negative interpretations. Localization of visuals is another important element. Images, colors, and symbols that are accepted in one culture may not be as effective in another. Therefore, it is important to adapt the visual aspects of the campaign to reflect the specific preferences and aesthetic norms of the target audience, making the advertisement more relatable and appealing.

Having the right message is not enough; it also needs to be delivered. The selection of media channels is a significant indicator of the success of marketing campaigns, as it is essential to establish the right balance between traditional channels and their digital formats, which, due to their flexibility and timeliness, allow brands to directly connect with their consumers, responding to their needs and queries in real time. This is particularly emphasized as an important segment in a multipolar environment.

When expanding into a new market, **effective distribution and pricing strategies** are crucial to ensuring that the brand reaches its target audience and remains competitive. Channel selection plays a key role in determining the most suitable method for delivering products to consumers, as different approaches may be preferred depending on the cultural context. Additionally, supply chain localization is essential for optimizing logistics and distribution to meet local demand. Businesses must establish partnerships with local distributors, wholesalers, and retailers, which will help them navigate the dynamics of local distribution more effectively. As for pricing, understanding local economic conditions, purchasing power, and consumer behavior is crucial to ensure that prices are competitive and acceptable to the target audience. To set an appropriate price, it is also necessary to adapt to the local market’s value perception, understand their cost-benefit analysis, and consider pricing flexibility and transparency (Garg, 2023).

From the perspective of multinational companies from developing countries (EMNCs), which face technological lag and less developed institutional frameworks in their home countries, entering the global market can be both a challenge and a driver for faster internationalization. This is because more advanced business conditions abroad may provide the opportunity to overcome these disadvantages and avoid the risk of being perpetually behind global competition. This is one of the main reasons why EMNCs challenge traditional views on internationalization, which fail to recognize the serious risks of non-internationalization and the limitations it places on growth opportunities. Given that this category consists of a large and diverse group of companies, any generalization must be approached with great caution.

For these companies, the rapid expansion of foreign direct investments (FDI) can be a key strategy for responding to changes in the domestic institutional environment. There are two primary strategies for expansion: exploitation and exploration. Exploitation involves utilizing existing capabilities and resources, while exploration focuses on developing new capabilities by accessing specific advantages in foreign markets. These strategies complement each other and can be part of the concept of ambidexterity, which refers to a company's ability to simultaneously engage in two seemingly opposing activities. This enables companies to balance the use of existing resources and advantages in their domestic market with the exploration of new markets, technologies, and resources in foreign markets.

Ambidextrous strategies include:

Sequential ambidexterity (from exploitation to exploration): This strategy involves initially adopting an exploitation approach when entering less developed markets to leverage competitive advantages gained in the home market, after which the company can return to the home market and apply the newly acquired knowledge and technology (exploitation).

Sequential ambidexterity (from exploration to exploitation): This approach focuses on entering new markets with the aim of learning and acquiring new resources, with the ultimate goal of applying that knowledge and resources either back in the home market or in other developing markets.

Structural ambidexterity: This refers to the simultaneous implementation of both strategies in different market segments, such as exploiting domestic markets while exploring foreign markets, in order to achieve sustainable competitive advantage (Deng, Liu & Gallagher, 2014).

3.1. INTERNATIONAL MARKETING MIX

The marketing mix refers to the combination of elements that a company uses to promote its products or services in global markets, encompassing four key components: product, price, place, and promotion. The approach to the marketing mix must be tailored to the specific requirements of the target market, bearing in mind that strategic decisions regarding these elements play a crucial role in shaping the company's success in foreign markets. Understanding and addressing the differences in how these elements are interpreted is essential for effective market penetration and performance abroad. Contemporary literature highlights that the conceptual definition of these elements now includes far more than their basic functions. A product, beyond its physical structure, also involves aspects such as adaptation, standardization, and personalization, aiming to align with local market preferences. To balance profitability and market entry, price setting must consider factors such as interest rates, the competitive landscape, and the economic conditions of the region. Meanwhile, distribution and promotion, which on domestic markets typically rely on familiar channels and communication strategies, in the international context must be aligned with the principles dictated by the dynamics of the foreign market.

When companies expand their business globally, they often face the decision of whether to standardize or adapt their marketing mix. Standardization implies the use of uniform marketing strategies across different international markets, which significantly simplifies operational activities, whereas adaptation refers to adjusting the marketing mix to better suit the conditions of the local market. Standardization relies on the consistency of product offerings, promotional and advertising campaigns, and fixed pricing, overlooking the differences across international markets. Product uniformity represents one of the core features of the standardization approach, and any loss in personalization-driven sales is usually compensated by the advantages of scale. Despite the potential benefits that standardization can offer, the dynamic nature of global market competition still demands a certain level of adaptation if a company aims to remain competitive (Eze, Inyang & James, 2024).

Domestic markets typically respond well to products that have already been developed in line with local specificities, whereas in foreign markets, product adaptation becomes a prerequisite for maintaining competitive advantage. Moreover, product branding must align with local perceptions, and special attention must be paid to specific regulations regarding safety standards, environmental laws, and required certifications.

Adaptation, as a process of modifying and adjusting marketing variables, emphasizes that price formation in foreign markets must take into account purchasing power, cost structure, local economic conditions, and various other factors. In some cases, taxes, tariffs, and legal regulations may influence pricing, making it essential to align prices with the legislative framework of the target country. Flexibility in pricing strategy is also important, as certain markets may require discounts, special offers, or tailored promotional pricing for specific products.

In international markets, distribution may require specific adjustments due to customs procedures, varying regulations, and high transportation costs—all of which can significantly impact the choice of distribution channels, a factor that is usually less prominent in domestic markets. In addition, each market needs to be thoroughly analyzed to determine consumer preferences regarding online versus offline shopping, as uninformed decisions in this area may result in misallocation of resources and reduced productivity.

As for promotional activities, the focus lies on adapting to cultural norms and overcoming language barriers, but there are also major differences in communication styles across regions. While domestic markets may favor more direct and simple marketing campaigns, international markets often demand more detailed messaging to avoid misunderstandings or cultural insensitivity. Furthermore, foreign markets may require greater reliance on local influencers, niche media outlets, or even e-commerce platforms that are not present or relevant in the home market.

3.2. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF DIGITAL MARKETING PRACTICES IN THE EU AND THE USA

Differences in digital marketing strategies between companies from the United States and Europe extend beyond technical aspects of advertising - they also reflect cultural distinctions and the evolving processes of consumer engagement and communication. Given their dominant position in the global market, American organizations often rely on one-way communication that emphasizes rapid and efficient dissemination of messages to large audiences. By utilizing digital tools such as hashtags and hyperlinks, this approach enables American brands to quickly identify and capitalize on emerging trends, while also enhancing customer support and simplifying access to related information and products. In essence, U.S.-based organizations lean heavily on mass exposure and immediate impact.

In contrast, European companies emphasize the importance of dialogue and interaction with consumers. By fostering a sense of community around a product or service, they prioritize personalized engagement and meaningful communication. Their consistent investment in building relationships with users allows for greater personalization and deeper market connection, as European brands aim not merely to sell products, but to convey a message that creates emotional resonance with their target audience.

Moreover, while American organizations typically implement globally oriented campaigns that require substantial budgets and extensive resources, European companies tend to adopt more targeted strategies, focusing on specific market niches and personalized messaging. This approach enables European brands to better adapt to cultural differences within the EU and to communicate in a more tailored and authentic manner in each individual market. Despite the fact that American organizations often enjoy larger followings and global reach, leading to greater audience engagement in terms of volume, European companies frequently employ more nuanced targeting techniques, emphasizing the quality of engagement over its quantity (López, 2021).

4. STANDARDIZATION VS. ADAPTATION PATTERNS

From the perspective of global marketing, companies often face the challenging strategic decision of how to balance standardization and adaptation of their marketing approaches across different markets. This decision is further emphasized by the fact that varying economic, cultural, and political environments require adjustments to marketing tactics if a company aims to operate effectively in a specific region. While there is a strong tendency towards the standardization of marketing on a global scale, the intense competition in markets where consumers have multiple alternatives makes the adaptation process a necessary aspect of marketing strategy. There is no universally correct answer to the question of when and why adaptation is necessary and when standardized approaches are sufficient; this depends on the type of market, with the most characteristic ones being: developed markets, emerging markets, global digital platforms, and geopolitically sensitive markets.

In developed markets, the strategy of global standardization with local nuances becomes crucial for the success of brands aiming to balance global and local needs. In this way, companies employ universal marketing approaches while maintaining global brand recognition, while also acknowledging specific local differences that shape consumer preferences and behavior.

Another significant factor in the marketing strategy of companies in **developed markets** is brand consistency. According to global branding theory, consumer perceptions are more positive when the brand is viewed as global, which helps consumers in the recognition process and allows the brand to link quality, prestige, and values to a brand that is globally present. Considering the advantages in resources, knowledge, and technology, economies of scale are one of the most reliable growth and development strategies for these companies. Increased sales, which causally lead to a reduction in costs per unit, not only distribute fixed costs across products but also provide technical, financial, and administrative advantages.

However, despite the advantages of global standardization, significant challenges arise. Cultural differences across target markets may lead to the establishment of different expectations and standards.

For example, consumer expectations regarding corporate social responsibility (CSR) can vary greatly. In developed markets such as the United States, CSR activities have become a key element in brand perception, and expectations for companies to engage in social and environmental issues are very high. Furthermore, market saturation, coupled with intense competition, presents brands with the challenge of maintaining attention and establishing long-term loyalty (Olsen, Taylor, Hill & Yalcinkaya, 2011).

The ideology of luxury brands differs conceptually from the promotional activities of regular consumer goods, as the core idea of luxury brands is not solely based on transactional goals but also on inspiring people to aspire to something greater, which adds special value to the brand, making it a symbol of desire and prestige.

The very context of luxury products is often linked to developed countries, but when it comes to penetrating **emerging** markets, it can be much riskier. If the value of these brands is not recognized, it will significantly weaken the overall success of the brand and create considerable costs. The asymmetry in the multicultural communication of luxury products is most clearly reflected in examples such as France, which has long been considered the origin of luxury and the fashion industry, on one hand, and China and India on the other, where a completely different approach is necessary when offering certain products in these markets.

When entering developing markets, the strategy of localization and cultural adaptation becomes crucial for success. Luxury brands must develop strategies that take into account the specificities of each individual market, rather than just global standards. Through this process, the necessary level of cultural sensitivity is achieved, and knowledge specific to each market is gained, helping in the creation of authentic marketing campaigns. For example, in Asia, the concept of family and tradition is often emphasized, while in Western markets, luxury encompasses individuality and personal status.

However, luxury brands face several challenges in implementing this strategy. Some of the most significant challenges include regulatory complexity, ethical standards, advertising adaptation, consumer protection, as well as brand unfamiliarity in environments where its value is not appreciated or where there is insufficient awareness of its quality (Ramchandani & Manière, 2012).

Luxury brands must also adopt modified approaches **to global digital markets**. Rather than viewing the internet as a threat to their exclusivity, contemporary brands increasingly recognize digital networks as powerful gateways to new and geographically distant markets. In this context, social media is no longer seen as a uniform global tool, but rather as a set of platforms embedded in specific local digital ecosystems.

For instance, Weibo is highly characteristic of China, reflecting the country's culturally homogeneous consumer base, while Western countries tend to gravitate towards platforms that embrace cultural diversity.

For brands leveraging the potential of online commerce, a deep understanding of these digital ecosystems becomes essential. Identical content can generate entirely different reactions depending on the cultural and regulatory environment in which it is presented. Thus, platform selectivity and message personalization must be guided by strategic insight and contextual awareness.

Adding to the complexity are regulatory restrictions and cultural fluctuations. For luxury consumers in particular, cultural orientation often leans toward individualism over collectivism and freedom of expression over controlled narratives. This means special attention must be paid to User-Generated Content (UGC), whose influence can resonate deeply across digital communities with shared interests. In such a landscape, digital content is not only consumed but actively interpreted, reshaped, and amplified through cultural lenses, making adaptive, locally-sensitive communication strategies more important than ever (Wang, Huang & Ríos, 2020).

In **geopolitically sensitive markets**, brand transformation and diversification are crucial for success. While transformation involves adapting the brand's identity to local needs, diversification reduces the risk of over-reliance on a single market. Surviving in a dynamic market, especially in international contexts, requires a high level of geopolitical awareness - understanding the changes that affect the market, as well as flexibility in positioning to adapt to the evolving demands of the market.

However, political tensions and negative country-of-origin effects can pose significant challenges. Sanctions, trade wars, and diplomatic conflicts can disrupt supply chains, reduce consumer trust, and damage a brand's reputation (Zuenkova, Arakelova & Starokozheva, 2022).

Table 1. Standardization vs. adaptation patterns

Market type	Strategy type	Success Factors	Challenges
Developed Markets	Global standardization with local nuances	Brand consistency, economies of scale, efficient supply chain management, high consumer purchasing power	Cultural differences, increasing competition from local brands, market saturation
Emerging Markets	Global standardization with local nuances	Cultural sensitivity, market-specific knowledge, speed of market penetration and scalability	Regulatory complexities, lack of infrastructure for digital transactions, brand unfamiliarity
Global Digital Platforms	Platform-specific strategies	Digital ecosystem understanding, scalable digital infrastructure, content adaptability, consumer data analysis for targeted ads	Platform regulations, algorithmic changes, cultural nuances in digital behavior, difficulty in maintaining brand voice across platforms
Geopolitically Sensitive Markets	Brand transformation and diversification	Geopolitical awareness, understanding and mitigating political risk, flexibility in brand positioning	Political tensions, economic sanctions affecting product availability, negative country-of-origin effects

Source: author, adapted from: (Olsen, Taylor, Hill & Yalcinkaya, 2011), (Ramchandani & Manière, 2012), (Wang, Huang & Ríos, 2020) & (Zuenkova, Arakelova & Starokozheva, 2022)

Table 1. confirms the main hypothesis of this paper, highlighting the necessity of applying differentiated strategic approaches in different markets in order for a company to achieve success and remain competitive in a multipolar environment.

CONCLUSION

This research clearly indicates that traditional marketing models are insufficient to adequately address the complex challenges posed by diverse market environments. The concept of global multipolarity imposes the imperative of redefining marketing initiatives in line with the dynamic and often unpredictable shifts in power. The development of conceptual frameworks around multipolarity shows that this phenomenon can be approached from various perspectives, yet its core elements ultimately shape the cultural, economic, and political dimensions of every layer of society.

From the standpoint of the standardization versus adaptation dilemma, the decision can no longer be viewed as a binary choice. Instead, it requires serious strategic and geopolitical competencies to strike the right balance between brand consistency and flexibility. Strategic sensitivity to marketneeds will increasingly become a key indicator of digital marketing success, as it is not only essential

to evaluate the brand's impact on foreign markets, but also to understand how those markets influence the brand's identity, tone, and value.The general conclusion is that standardization should be applied where it is sustainable, and adaptation where it is necessary. There is no universal formula for global success - success is built locally, but with global awareness.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Ministry of Scientific and Technological Development and Higher Education of the Republika Srpska under the Agreement on Co-financing of the Scientific and Research Project No.: 19.032/961-47/24 dated 30.12.2024.

REFERENCES

[1] Becker-Olsen, K. L., Taylor, C. R., Hill, R. P., & Yalcinkaya, G. (2011). A cross-cultural examination of corporate social responsibility marketing communications in Mexico and the United States: Strategies for global brands. Journal of International Marketing, 19(2), 30-44.

[2] Deng, P., Liu, Y., & Gallagher, V. C. (2014). International Strategies by Emerging Market Companies: A Dynamic Capabilities Perspective. In Academy of Management Proceedings (Vol. 2014, No. 1, p. 11458). Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510: Academy of Management.

[3] Eze, F. J., Inyang, I. B., & James, E. E. (2024). Standardization versus adaptation of

marketing mix in international markets: A systematic literature review.
[4] Garg, A. (2023). Cultural differences and marketing effectiveness in global markets: Strategies for tailoring marketing efforts. International Journal of Social Science and Economic Research, 8(8), 2161-2177.
[5] Matosas-López, L. (2021). The management of digital marketing strategies in social network services: A comparison between American and European organizations. Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 7(1), 65.
[6] Ramchandani, M., & Coste-Manière, I. (2012). Asymmetry in multi-cultural luxury communication: A comparative analysis on luxury brand communication in India and China. Journal of Global Fashion Marketing, 3(2), 89-97.
[7] Soluyanov, V. S. (2021). Концепция многополярности: многообразие подходов и интерпретаций. Вестник Российского университета дружбы народов. Серия: Политология, 23(3), 424-445.
[8] Stojković, B. (2017). Development of unipolarity and multipolarity in new age. Vojno delo, 69(7), 9-18.
[9] Theodosiou, M., & Katsikeas, C. S. (2001). Factors influencing the degree of international pricing strategy standardization of multinational corporations. Journal of International Marketing, 9(3), 1-18.
[10] Wang, R., Huang, S., & Pérez-Ríos, N. G. (2020). Multinational luxury brands' communication strategies on international and local social media: Comparing Twitter and Weibo. Journal of International Consumer Marketing, 32(4), 313-323.
[11] Zuenkova, Y. A., Arakelova, I. V., & Starokozheva, G. I. (2022). Marketing Strategies and Export Risks of Russian Higher Education in Conditions of Geopolitical Instability. Regionalnaya ekonomika. Yug Rossii= Regional Economy. South of Russia, 10(4), 20-28.